Carboxylic Acid Amides (CAA) Working Group

Annual Meeting Season 2020 on January 19th, 2021

Protocol of the discussions and recommendations of the CAA Working Group of the Fungicide Resistance Action Committee (FRAC)

Participants

Gerd Stammler (Chairman)	BASF SE, Limburgerhof, Germany
Nadine Riediger	BASF SE, Limburgerhof, Germany
Andreas Mehl	Bayer AG, Monheim, Germany
Jürgen Derpmann	Bayer AG, Monheim, Germany
Robert Puhl	Bayer AG, Monheim, Germany
Pauline Leroux	Belchim Crop Protection, Brussels, Belgium
Audrey Derumier	Belchim Crop Protection, Brussels, Belgium
Akihiro Moriwaki	K-I Chemical, Brussels, Belgium
Stefano Torriani	Syngenta, Basel, Switzerland
Renu Kapil	Syngenta, Basel, Switzerland

Venue:
Virtual Meeting

Anti-trust guidelines (from FRAC constitution) were shown at the start of the meeting

Disclaimer

The technical information contained in the global guidelines/the website/the publication/the minutes is provided to CropLife International/RAC members, non-members, the scientific community and a broader public audience.

While CropLife International and the RACs make every effort to present accurate and reliable information in the guidelines, CropLife International and the RACs do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, efficacy, timeliness, or correct sequencing of such information. CropLife International and the RACs assume no responsibility for consequences resulting from the use of their information, or in any respect for the content of such information, including but not limited to errors or omissions, the accuracy or reasonableness of factual or scientific assumptions, studies or conclusions.

Inclusion of active ingredients and products on the RAC Code Lists is based on scientific evaluation of their modes of action; it does not provide any kind of testimonial for the use of a product or a judgment on efficacy. CropLife International and the RACs are not responsible for, and expressly disclaim all liability for, damages of any kind arising out of use, reference to, or reliance on information provided in the guidelines.

Listing of chemical classes or modes of action in any of the CropLife International/RAC recommendations must not be interpreted as approval for use of a compound in a given country. Prior to implementation, each user must determine the current registration status in the country of use and strictly adhere to the uses and instructions approved in that country.

1. Introduction

The FRAC CAA Working Group was set up in 2005 to generate common resistance management recommendations for the Oomycete fungicides dimethomorph, flumorph, pyrimorph, benthiavalicarb, iprovalicarb, valifenalate and mandipropamid.

All of the above-mentioned fungicides exhibit cross resistance and are grouped under the FRAC Code No. 40 in the FRAC Code List.

CODE	TARGET SITE OF ACTION	GROUP NAME	CHEMICAL GROUP	COMMON NAME	COMMENTS
40	Cellulose synthesis	**CAA**-fungicides (**C**arboxylic **a**cid **a**mides)	cinnamic acid amides	dimethomorph flumorph pyrimorph	Low to medium risk. Resistance management required.
			valinamide carbamates	benthiavalicarb iprovalicarb valifenalate	
			mandelic acid amides	mandipropamid	

As shown in the table, the group name **C**arboxylic **A**cid **A**mides (**CAA**) has been chosen. This name best represents compounds from three different chemical groups. The mode of action of CAA compounds is directly linked to the inhibition of cellulose synthesis in the Oomycete plant pathogen (Blum *et al.* 2010, Molecular Plant Pathology 11, 227-243).

Uptake studies with ^{14}C labeled mandipropamid (MPD) showed that this Oomycete control agent acts on the cell wall and does not enter the cell. Furthermore, ^{14}C glucose incorporation into cellulose was perturbed in the presence of MPD. Gene sequence analysis of cellulose synthase genes in laboratory mutants, insensitive to MPD, revealed two point mutations in the *PiCesA3* gene, known to be involved in cellulose synthesis. Both mutations in the *PiCesA3* gene result in a change to the same amino acid (Glycine-1105) in the protein.

Sensitivity monitoring studies over several years revealed that in populations of the late blight pathogen, *Phytophthora infestans*, all isolates were fully sensitive to CAA fungicides. However, in populations of the grape downy mildew pathogen, *Plasmopara viticola*, isolates can be found in certain regions, which are resistant to all CAA fungicides.

Inheritance studies (Gisi *et al.* 2007, Plant Pathology 56, 199-208) showed that sexual crosses between sensitive and CAA resistant isolates of *Plasmopara viticola* lead to a co-segregation of resistance to dimethomorph, iprovalicarb, benthiavalicarb and mandipropamid, but not to the phenylamide, mefenoxam, which was tested in parallel as an independent marker.

Further, the inheritance studies showed that the gene(s) for resistance to CAA fungicides are inherited in a recessive manner. Therefore, the entire F1 generation of crosses between sensitive and CAA resistant isolates was sensitive, and only in the F2 progeny did CAA resistance reappear in some isolates. These results suggest that the resistance risk can be classified as moderate (as compared to high for phenylamide and CAA fungicides) and that it can be managed by appropriate product use strategies (see below).

2. CAA – Resistance Monitoring 2020

2.1. CAA – *Plasmopara viticola* – Grape downy mildew

Disease incidence

In 2020, disease pressure was moderate in most European countries with regional differences,

Monitoring results

(BASF, Bayer, Belchim, Syngenta)

The following estimations are based on the data provided by the different companies. These data were generated by different laboratories including external service providers. Different methods such as *in vivo* tests, zoospore germination tests and moleculargenetic analysis were used for sensitivity assessment.
The latest assessments for each country are provided. These are in most cases from populations from the 2020 season, besides another year is mentioned.
Regions of interest which are not listed here, may be found in previous meeting minutes.

France
As in the years before, CAA resistant isolates have been detected consistently in most areas. High frequencies of resistance were detected in Midi-Pyrenees, Provence and Savoie, moderate to high frequencies in Bordeaux, Champagne and Cognac. Moderate frequencies were detected in Bourgogne and Beaujolais, Centre, Val de Loire and Valle du Rhone; low to moderate frequencies in Languedoc, and low frequency of CAA resistance in Alsace/Lorraine.

Germany
High frequencies of resistance were found in Mosel, Main, Württemberg. Moderate to high frequencies were observed in Baden, Pfalz and Rheinhessen.

Switzerland
High frequency values of CAA resistance were detected in Ticino, Vaud and Zürich, moderate values in Geneva.

Austria

High values were detected in Wachau and Steiermark, low to moderate values in Weinviertel and no resistance in Burgenland.

Italy
High frequencies of resistance were observed in Alto Adige, Trentino and Veneto, moderate to high values in Emilia Romagna, Friuli, Piemonte, Puglia and Toscana, moderate values in Campania, Marche, Lombardia and Umbria.

Spain:

Moderate frequencies of resistance were found in Navarra region and a heterogenous situation with no or high frequency was detected in Galicia and no CAA resistance was found in Leon.

Portugal
Most samples from Portugal were fully sensitive, some samples contained low or moderate frequencies of CAA resistance.

Greece
No CAA resistance was detected in Peleponnes area and low to moderate frequencies of CAA resistance were detected in Makedonia.

Hungary
Samples from Hungary contained no to moderate frequency of CAA resistance.

Romania
Limited CAA monitoring showed a full sensitive situation in Romania.

Turkey
Samples from Akdeniz region showed moderate to high frequency while samples from Ege region were fully sensitive.

Bulgaria
Limited CAA monitoring showed a full sensitive situation in Bulgaria.

Croatia
Samples from Croatia were heterogenous, with no CAA resistance or even high frequencies of CAA resistance.

Slovenia
A limited monitoring showed the presence of CAA resistance in single sites.

Slovakia
The sites, which were analysed in a limited CAA monitoring in Slovakia were full sensitive.

Czech Republic
No monitoring data were presented for 2020 season. In 2016, sensitivity monitoring showed low frequencies of CAA resistance.

Field performance

Field performance of registered products was good when applied in spray programmes using timely preventive applications, according to the FRAC recommendations.

2.2. CAA – *Phytophthora infestans* – Late blight

Disease incidence

In 2020, disease pressure was moderate in the main growing areas of Europe.

Monitoring results

(Belchim, KI-Chemicals and Syngenta)

Sensitivity monitoring programmes showed a full sensitive picture over Europe. Samples were taken from tomatoes and potatoes originating from Germany, France, Spain, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Portugal, Slovakia, Netherlands, Poland, Denmark, Lithuania, Latvia, United Kingdom, Belgium, Sweden.

Sensitivity monitoring programs in previous years showed also full sensitivity for *Phytophthora infestans* collected from potatoes and tomatoes in Europe (Belgium, Croatia, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, UK).. From China, data are available from 2016 (Hebei, Inner Mongolia) and all samples were sensitive.

Genotypes of *Phytophthora infestans* are classified by Euroblight. These genotypes could express variable aggressiveness, but are not necessarily linked to fungicide resistance, which is genetically independent.

Field performance

Field performance of registered products in potatoes and tomatoes was good when applied in spray programmes using timely preventive applications.

2.3. CAA – *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* - Downy mildew of cucurbits

(Syngenta)

In 2020 full sensitivity was monitored in samples collected from cucumber, melon and zucchini in Germany, Spain, France, Greece and Poland. Single strains showing CAA resistance were detected in Italy from zucchini, however most of the samples from Italy were sensitive.

Sensitivity monitoring programs were carried out in Europe since 2015. Results from 2019 indicate no resistance in Spain, France, Greece, Italy and Poland. Samples were collected from cucumber and melon.

2.4 CAA – *Bremia lactucae* – Downy mildew of lettuce
(Syngenta, BASF)

Full sensitivity was monitored in 2020 from samples collected in Belgium, Hungary and Italy.

Sensitivity studies were done with samples from 2013-2015 from France, Italy, Germany and Spain. All samples tested were sensitive.
In 2016, genetic analysis of the cesA3 gene showed that all samples from Spain, Germany and UK did not contain any known mutation potentially causing CAA resistance and were therefore classified as sensitive.
In 2018 and 2019 samples collected from France, Greece, Germany, Netherlands and Italy were sensitive.

2.5 CAA – *Peronospora destructor* - Downy mildew of onions
(BASF)

Genetic analysis of the cesA3 gene in 2016 showed that all samples from Germany did not contain any known mutation potentially causing CAA resistance and were therefore classified as sensitive.

3. CAA – Use Recommendations

3.1. CAA – *Plasmopara viticola* – Grape downy mildew

Plasmopara viticola is classified by FRAC as a high-risk pathogen. Long-term experience with CAA fungicides demonstrates that the resistance risk of *Plasmopara viticola* to this fungicide group is moderate and can be managed through appropriate use strategies.

CAA – Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 50% of the total number of intended applications for disease control not exceeding a total of 4 CAA fungicide sprays during one crop cycle. In areas of high resistance, the total number should not exceed a maximum of 3 applications during one crop cycle
- Always apply CAA fungicides in mixture with effective partners such as multi-site or other non-cross resistant fungicides
- An effective partner for a CAA fungicide is one that provides satisfactory disease control when used alone at the mixture rate
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

3.2. CAA – *Phytophthora infestans* – Late blight of potato and tomato

No resistant isolates from field populations have been found since the introduction of CAA fungicides in 1993.

Phytophthora infestans is classified by FRAC as a medium risk pathogen. Long-term experience with CAA fungicides demonstrates that the resistance risk of *Phytophthora infestans* to this fungicide group is low to moderate. For effective resistance management, a precautionary strategy has to be implemented.

Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 50% of the total number of intended applications for late blight control
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

3.3. CAA – *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* – Downy mildew of cucurbits

Pseudoperonospora cubensis is classified by FRAC as a high-risk pathogen.

Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 50% of the total number of intended applications for disease control not exceeding a total of 4 CAA fungicide sprays during one crop cycle. In areas of high resistance, the total number should not exceed a maximum of 3 applications during one crop cycle
- Always apply CAA fungicides in mixture with effective partners such as multi-site or other non-cross resistant fungicides
- An effective partner for a CAA fungicide is one that provides satisfactory disease control when used alone at the mixture rate
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

3.4. CAA – Other Oomycete pathogens

Some of the downy mildew pathogens are classified by FRAC as moderate risk pathogens (e.g. *Bremia lactucae*). In spite of the use of CAA fungicides for more than 20 years against a range of such Oomycete pathogens, no reports on the occurrence of less sensitive field populations are available.

For effective resistance management, a precautionary strategy has to be implemented.

Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 50% of the total number of intended applications for disease control
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

4. Next Meeting

Next annual meeting is planned for January 18th, 2022.