



Carboxylic Acid Amides (CAA) Working Group

Annual Meeting Season 2022 on January 17th, 2023

Protocol of the discussions and recommendations of the CAA Working Group of the Fungicide Resistance Action Committee (FRAC)

Participants

Gerd Stammer (Chairman)	BASF SE, Limburgerhof, Germany
Nadine Riediger	BASF SE, Limburgerhof, Germany
Andreas Mehl	Bayer AG, Monheim, Germany
Jürgen Derpmann	Bayer AG, Monheim, Germany (excused)
Daniela Portz	Bayer AG, Monheim, Germany (excused)
Audrey Derumier	Belchim Crop Protection, Brussels, Belgium
Gwenaël Champroux	Belchim Crop Protection, Brussels, Belgium
Hidehiko Yamamoto	Kumiai Chemical
Susume Abe	K-I Chemical, Brussels, Belgium
Stefano Torriani	Syngenta, Basel, Switzerland
Santiago Valdes	Syngenta, Basel, Switzerland

Venue:

Meeting at Lindner Hotel, Frankfurt

Anti-trust guidelines (from FRAC constitution) were shown at the start of the meeting

Disclaimer

The technical information contained in the global guidelines/the website/the publication/the minutes is provided to CropLife International/RAC members, non-members, the scientific community and a broader public audience.

While CropLife International and the RACs make every effort to present accurate and reliable information in the guidelines, CropLife International and the RACs do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, efficacy, timeliness, or correct sequencing of such information. CropLife International and the RACs assume no responsibility for consequences resulting from the use of their information, or in any respect for the content of such information, including but not limited to errors or omissions, the accuracy or reasonableness of factual or scientific assumptions, studies or conclusions.

Inclusion of active ingredients and products on the RAC Code Lists is based on scientific evaluation of their modes of action; it does not provide any kind of testimonial for the use of a product or a judgment on efficacy. CropLife International and the RACs are not responsible for, and expressly disclaim all liability for, damages of any kind arising out of use, reference to, or reliance on information provided in the guidelines.

Listing of chemical classes or modes of action in any of the CropLife International/RAC recommendations must not be interpreted as approval for use of a compound in a given country. Prior to implementation, each user must determine the current registration status in the country of use and strictly adhere to the uses and instructions approved in that country.

1. Introduction

The FRAC CAA Working Group was set up in 2005 to generate common resistance management recommendations for the Oomycete fungicides dimethomorph, flumorph, pyrimorph, bentiavalicarb, iprovalicarb, valifenalate and mandipropamid.

All of the above-mentioned fungicides exhibit cross resistance and are grouped under the FRAC Code No. 40 in the FRAC Code List.

CODE	TARGET SITE OF ACTION	GROUP NAME	CHEMICAL GROUP	COMMON NAME	COMMENTS
40	Cellulose synthesis	CAA-fungicides (Carboxylic acid amides)	cinnamic acid amides	dimethomorph flumorph pyrimorph	Low to medium risk. Resistance management required.
			valinamide carbamates	bentiavalicarb iprovalicarb valifenalate	
			mandelic acid amides	mandipropamid	

As shown in the table, the group name **Carboxylic Acid Amides (CAA)** has been chosen. This name best represents compounds from three different chemical groups. The mode of action of CAA compounds is directly linked to the inhibition of cellulose synthesis in the Oomycete plant pathogen (Blum *et al.* 2010, Molecular Plant Pathology 11, 227-243).

Uptake studies with ¹⁴C labeled mandipropamid (MPD) showed that this Oomycete control agent acts on the cell wall and does not enter the cell. Furthermore, ¹⁴C glucose incorporation into cellulose was perturbed in the presence of MPD. Gene sequence analysis of cellulose synthase genes in laboratory mutants, insensitive to MPD, revealed two point mutations in the *PiCesA3* gene, known to be involved in cellulose synthesis. Both mutations in the *PiCesA3* gene result in a change to the same amino acid (Glycine-1105) in the protein.

Sensitivity monitoring studies over several years revealed that in populations of the late blight pathogen, *Phytophthora infestans*, all isolates were fully sensitive to CAA fungicides. In monitoring studies from 2021 first suspicious cases were identified and in the seasons 2022 CAA resistance in this species was confirmed. In populations of the grape downy mildew pathogen, *Plasmopara viticola*, isolates can be found in many grape growing regions in Europe. There is cross-resistance all CAA fungicides.

Inheritance studies (Gisi *et al.* 2007, Plant Pathology 56, 199-208) showed that sexual crosses between sensitive and CAA resistant isolates of *Plasmopara viticola* lead to a co-segregation of resistance to dimethomorph, iprovalicarb, bentiavalicarb and mandipropamid, but not to the phenylamide, mefenoxam, which was tested in parallel as an independent marker.

Further, the inheritance studies showed that the gene(s) for resistance to CAA fungicides are inherited in a recessive manner. Therefore, the entire F1 generation of crosses between sensitive and CAA resistant isolates was sensitive, and only in the F2 progeny did CAA resistance reappear in some isolates. These results suggest that the resistance risk can be classified as moderate (as compared to high for phenylamide and CAA fungicides) and that it can be managed by appropriate product use strategies (see below).

2. CAA – Resistance Monitoring 2022

2.1. CAA – *Plasmopara viticola* – Grape downy mildew

Disease incidence

In 2022, disease pressure was low in most European countries with regional differences,

Monitoring results

(BASF, Bayer, Belchim, KI-Chemical and Syngenta)

The following estimations are based on the data provided by the different companies. These data were generated by different laboratories including external service providers. Different methods such as *in vivo* tests, zoospore germination tests and molecular genetic analysis were used for sensitivity assessment.

The latest assessments for each country are provided. These are in most cases from populations from the 2022 season, besides another year is mentioned. Regions of interest which are not listed here, may be found in previous meeting minutes.

France

As in the years before, CAA resistant isolates have been detected consistently in most areas. High frequencies of resistance were detected in Languedoc and Valle du Rhone; moderate to high frequencies in Bordeaux, Champagne, Cognac, Midi-Pyrenees, and Val de Loire. Moderate frequencies were detected in Bourgogne and Beaujolais; low to moderate frequencies Sud-Est.

Germany

High frequencies of resistance were found in Main and Rheinhessen. Moderate to high frequencies were observed in Baden, Neckar and Pfalz.

Switzerland

A limited number of samples was analysed in 2021 from Ticino, Vaud and Geneva with high frequency values of CAA resistance. In Wallis frequency was moderate.

Austria

A limited number of samples was analysed from Weinviertel with overall moderate frequency of CAA resistance. More samples were analysed from Niederösterreich which showed heterogenous frequencies from low to high, from Burgenland with moderate frequencies and from Steiermark with high frequencies of CAA resistance.

Italy

High frequencies of resistance were observed in Alto Adige, Friuli, Lombardia, Marche, Piemonte, Trentino and Veneto, while moderate to high values were found in Emilia Romagna. For Abruzzo and Umbria monitoring was limited to single samples which showed no CAA resistance.

Spain:

As in the years before, a heterogenous situation with low or high frequency of CAA resistance was detected in Galicia. Monitoring in Basque and Valencia resulted in a high frequency of CAA resistance.

Portugal

CAA resistance was heterogenous in Portugal. Some samples were fully sensitive, others contained low to high frequencies of CAA resistance in the populations.

Greece

As in the years before, no CAA resistance was detected in Peloponnese area but moderate to high frequencies of CAA resistance were detected in Makedonia in 2022.

Croatia

Samples from Croatia showed moderate to high frequency of CAA resistance.

Hungary

As in the previous year, samples from Hungary were heterogenous and contained no to moderate frequency of CAA resistance in 2022

Romania

Monitoring of samples across Romania showed no to moderate values of CAA resistance.

Turkey

Samples from Dogu Marmara and Ege region were fully sensitive to CAAs

Bulgaria

All samples analysed were full sensitive.

Slovenia

Samples from Slovenia showed overall high values of CAA resistance.

Slovakia

The sites, which were analysed in a limited CAA monitoring in 2020 were full sensitive.

Field performance

Field performance of registered products was good when applied in spray programmes using timely preventive applications, according to the FRAC recommendations.

2.2. CAA – *Phytophthora infestans* – Late blight

Disease incidence

In 2022, disease pressure was low in the main growing areas of Europe.

Monitoring results

(Belchim, KI-Chemical and Syngenta)

Further analysis of suspicious isolates from 2021 confirmed resistance to CAAs. The mechanism is the G1105S mutation in the *CesA3* gene.

Monitoring studies were carried out in 2022 in potatoes in Belgium, Denmark, Germany, France, Hungary, Ireland, Latvia, Norway, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Sweden and United Kingdom. Single resistant isolates have been detected in Germany, Netherlands, Sweden, Norway and with higher frequency in Denmark.

All samples from tomatoes from Europe (Croatia, Italy, Poland) and Vietnam were fully sensitive.

Sensitivity monitoring programmes in 2021 showed generally a full sensitive picture over Europe. Samples were taken from tomatoes and potatoes originating from Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Germany, France, Spain, Greece, Italy, Portugal, Slovakia, Netherlands, Poland, Romania, United Kingdom. However few suspicious isolates with higher EC₅₀ values have been detected and are currently under further investigation.

Sensitivity monitoring programs in previous years showed full sensitivity for *Phytophthora infestans* collected from potatoes and tomatoes in Europe (Belgium, Croatia, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Lithuania, Latvia, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, UK). From China, data are available from 2016 (Hebei, Inner Mongolia) and all samples were sensitive.

Genotypes of *Phytophthora infestans* are classified by Euroblight. These genotypes could express variable aggressiveness, but are not necessarily linked to fungicide resistance, which is genetically independent.

Field performance

Field performance of registered products in potatoes and tomatoes was good when applied in spray programmes using timely preventive applications.

2.3. CAA – *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* - Downy mildew of cucurbits

(Syngenta)

In 2022, genetic monitoring was carried out with samples from Vietnam. All samples showed wild type sequence for *cesA3* gene and are therefore interpreted as sensitive to CAAs.

In 2020 and 2021 full sensitivity was monitored in samples collected from cucumber, melon and zucchini in Croatia, Germany, Hungary, France, Greece, Poland, Switzerland.

Single strains showing CAA resistance were detected in Italy in 2020 and in Spain from 2021, however most of the samples from both countries were sensitive.

All samples collected from China (6 provinces) between 2019 and 2020 confirmed full sensitivity based on molecular analysis.

Two trial sites from US (South Carolina and Florida) confirmed the presence of the previous published mutation G1105W in the *cesA3* associated to CAA resistance (Blum *et al.* 2011, *Pest Management Science* 67, 1211-1214).

2.4 CAA – *Bremia lactucae* – Downy mildew of lettuce

(Syngenta, BASF)

Full sensitivity was monitored in 2020 and 2021 from samples collected in Belgium, Germany, Spain, Greece, Croatia, Hungary and Italy.

Sensitivity studies were done with samples from 2013-2015 from France, Italy, Germany and Spain. All samples tested were sensitive.

In 2016, genetic analysis of the *cesA3* gene showed that all samples from Spain, Germany and UK did not contain any known mutation potentially causing CAA resistance and were therefore classified as sensitive.

In 2018 and 2019 samples collected from France, Greece, Germany, Netherlands and Italy were sensitive.

2.5 CAA – *Peronospora destructor* - Downy mildew of onions

(BASF)

Genetic analysis of the *cesA3* gene in 2016 showed that all samples from Germany did not contain any known mutation potentially causing CAA resistance and were therefore classified as sensitive.

3. CAA – Use Recommendations

3.1. CAA – *Plasmopara viticola* – Grape downy mildew

Plasmopara viticola is classified by FRAC as a high-risk pathogen. Long-term experience with CAA fungicides demonstrates that the resistance risk of *Plasmopara viticola* to this fungicide group is moderate and can be managed through appropriate use strategies.

CAA – Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 50% of the total number of intended applications for disease control not exceeding a total of 4 CAA fungicide sprays during one crop cycle. In areas of high resistance, the total number should not exceed a maximum of 3 applications during one crop cycle
- Always apply CAA fungicides in mixture with effective partners such as multi-site or other non-cross resistant fungicides
- An effective partner for a CAA fungicide is one that provides satisfactory disease control when used alone at the mixture rate
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

3.2. CAA – *Phytophthora infestans* – Late blight of potato and tomato

Phytophthora infestans is classified by FRAC as a medium risk pathogen. Long-term experience with CAA fungicides demonstrates that the resistance risk of *Phytophthora infestans* to this fungicide group is low to moderate. For effective resistance management, a precautionary strategy has to be implemented.

Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 50% of the total number of intended applications for late blight control
- Apply CAA fungicides always at recommended dose rates
- Apply CAA fungicides using not more than 2 consecutive applications
- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in mixtures with effective partners belonging to different modes of action
- An effective partner for a CAA fungicide is one that provides satisfactory disease control when used alone at the mixture rate
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

- Good agricultural practices must be considered to reduce source of inoculum, disease pressure and resistance risk, e.g. consider to plant resistant varieties and refer to disease prediction models

In regions with reported cases of resistance:

- CAA fungicides must be used in mixtures, with not more than 2 consecutive applications
- In case mixtures cannot be applied for regulatory reasons, apply CAA fungicide in strict alternation

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

3.3. CAA – *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* – Downy mildew of cucurbits

Pseudoperonospora cubensis is classified by FRAC as a high-risk pathogen.

Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 50% of the total number of intended applications for disease control not exceeding a total of 4 CAA fungicide sprays during one crop cycle. In areas of high resistance, the total number should not exceed a maximum of 3 applications during one crop cycle
- Always apply CAA fungicides in mixture with effective partners such as multi-site or other non-cross resistant fungicides
- An effective partner for a CAA fungicide is one that provides satisfactory disease control when used alone at the mixture rate
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

3.4. CAA – Other Oomycete pathogens

Some of the downy mildew pathogens are classified by FRAC as moderate risk pathogens (e.g. *Bremia lactucae*). In spite of the use of CAA fungicides for more than 20 years against a range of such Oomycete pathogens, no reports on the occurrence of less sensitive field populations are available.

For effective resistance management, a precautionary strategy has to be implemented.

Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 50% of the total number of intended applications for disease control
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

4. Next Meeting

Next annual meeting is planned for January 16th, 2024.