



## MEMBERSHIP

The working group is comprised of the following members:

Andy Leadbeater (chair)	Syngenta
Helge Sierotzki	Syngenta
Sid Jain	Syngenta
Andreas Mehl	Bayer CropScience
Damien Viollet	Bayer CropScience
Georg Raupach	Bayer CropScience
Robert Bird	DuPont
Jean-Luc Genet	DuPont
Gerd Stammier	BASF
Martin Semar	BASF (arable crops)
Randall Gold	BASF (speciality crops)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The working group is responsible for global fungicide resistance strategies in the Qo inhibitor fungicides (QoI). The Qo inhibitor fungicides (QoI) all act at the Quinone 'outer' (Qo) binding site of the cytochrome bc1 complex.

**The QoI fungicides are: azoxystrobin, coumoxystrobin, dimoxystrobin, enoxastrobin, famoxadone, fenamidone, fenaminostrobin, fluoxastrobin, flufenoxystrobin, kresoxim-methyl, metominostrobin, orysastrobin, pyraoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyrametastrobin, pyribencarb, triclopyricarb, trifloxystrobin**

They are all in the same cross-resistance group and should be managed accordingly.

Companies participating in the meetings:

**BASF, Bayer CropScience, DuPont, Syngenta**

**QoI working group of FRAC  
Minutes of the meeting  
All crops: December 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2010  
Organised by Du Pont in Frankfurt, Germany**

## 2. Minutes of discussions

### 2.1. Review of sensitivity monitoring

#### 2.1.1. Cereal diseases

Field experience in 2010 has confirmed that, when used according to FRAC guidelines, the performance of QoI containing products within spray programmes was good. QoIs continue to contribute to overall disease management in cereals.

#### **Powdery mildew (*Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici* = *Erysiphe graminis* f.sp. *tritici*), wheat**

Bayer CropScience

Disease pressure in 2010 was low to moderate across Europe.

No further monitoring was carried out in Northern and Western parts of Europe due to already established high levels of resistance as reported previously.

Overall, where monitoring was carried out, the situation in 2010 was similar to 2009.

High resistance frequencies were found in Southern France.

Low to medium resistance frequencies were found in Hungary, Austria, Czech Republic and Poland.

The G143A mutation was detected in one isolate in Italy.

#### **Powdery mildew (*Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *hordei* = *Erysiphe graminis* f.sp. *hordei*), barley**

Bayer CropScience

Disease pressure in 2010 was low across Europe.

Overall, where monitoring was carried out, the situation in 2010 was similar to that observed in 2006.

High resistance levels were found in Northern and Central France, Northern Germany, UK and Ireland.

Low to medium frequencies were found in other parts of Germany.

Sampling in Czech Republic and Poland identified a few resistant isolates.

No resistance was detected in Austria, Hungary, Italy, Denmark and Sweden.

#### **Septoria leaf spot (*Septoria tritici* = *Mycosphaerella graminicola*), wheat**

BASF, Bayer CropScience, Syngenta, Du Pont

Disease pressure in 2010 in Europe was low to moderate with a late onset of disease.

Extensive monitoring programmes were carried out throughout the wheat growing areas of Europe in 2010.

The status at the end of the season 2010 is as follows:

UK, Ireland, Belgium, France, Germany, The Netherlands, Sweden, Denmark, Latvia, Lithuania, Switzerland: widespread resistance all over these countries at high levels.

Poland: heterogeneous populations with a decreasing gradient from North to South, i.e. high resistance levels in the North and centre, low to medium in the South.

Czech Republic: 2010 data show a generally stable situation with the majority of samples ranging from low to medium resistance levels. A few samples with high resistance levels were detected in parts of the country.

Ukraine, Belarus, Italy, Slovakia: sampling in 2010 showed no to low levels of resistance.

Spain, Morocco: no resistance was found.

No monitoring was done in Austria in 2010.

### **Brown rust (*Puccinia recondita* = *Puccinia triticina*), wheat**

BASF, Bayer CropScience

Disease pressure was moderate in most of the countries of Europe in 2010.

Performance of QoI fungicides against brown rust was good. No resistant isolates were detected in widespread monitoring studies in Europe in 2010, confirming the fully sensitive picture.

These findings are consistent with the reported presence of a lethal intron in several fungi making the G143A mutation unlikely to occur.



Impact of position  
134 intron

### **Net blotch (*Pyrenophora teres*), barley**

BASF, Bayer CropScience, Syngenta, Du Pont

Disease pressure was low to moderate in Europe during 2010. Performance of QoI containing spray programmes against Net Blotch was good.

Extensive monitoring was carried out in 2010. The F129L mutation (not the G143A mutation) was found. The G137R mutation was only found in Ireland and Germany. As already observed with other pathogens, resistance factors are significantly lower in comparison with the G143A mutation and field performance of products used according to FRAC and Manufacturers' recommendations remains good (for differences between QoI mutations see also the respective FRAC document).

These findings are consistent with the reported presence of a lethal intron in several fungi making the G143A mutation unlikely to occur.



Impact of position  
134 intron

Frequency of the F129L mutation in 2010 was comparable to 2007 - 2009 in barley growing countries in Europe.

The F129L mutation was found most frequently in populations in Central and Northern France and the UK, Ireland and Belgium, less frequently in Germany and Denmark.

A few F129L containing - samples were detected in Southern France, Czech Republic, Poland, Sweden.

No mutation was found in Finland, Belarus, Slovakia, Lithuania and Latvia.

### **Leaf scald (*Rhynchosporium secalis*), barley**

BASF, Bayer CropScience, Syngenta, Du Pont

Disease pressure was low to moderate in Europe during 2010.

Performance of QoI fungicides against Leaf Scald was good.

Extensive monitoring was carried out in 2010 which showed no G143A mutation in all countries (UK, Ireland, Germany, Netherlands, France, Czech Republic, Poland, Denmark, Sweden).

### **Tan spot (*Pyrenophora tritici-repentis*), wheat**

BASF, Du Pont

Disease pressure was low in Europe. Performance of QoI containing spray programmes against tan spot was good in 2010.

Resistance of Tan Spot to QoI fungicides has spread further during 2010 particularly in northern Poland.

Samples containing the G143A mutation were found in Germany, France, Denmark, Latvia, Lithuania, Sweden, Poland, Czech Republic. However, levels were highly variable.

Samples containing the F129L mutation at lower frequency than the G143A mutation were found in Germany, France, Denmark. In Sweden the F129L mutation was found at medium to high levels, more frequent than the G143A (none to medium).

No mutations were found in samples from Kazakhstan.

No monitoring data are available in 2010 from northern Germany, Finland and Russia.

Characterisation of F129L isolates has shown a clear dose response to QoI fungicides.



Mutations associated  
with QoI resistance

All three point mutations known for QoIs, (G143A, F129L, G137R), have been detected, and can occur in the same population. The G137R mutation, which confers weak resistance (lower than F129L) is seldom detected and is considered to be of low practical relevance.

### ***Microdochium nivale and majus*, wheat**

Bayer CropScience

Conditions in 2010 were favourable for the development of snow mould.

Monitoring was carried out in 2010 on both species of *Microdochium* (*M. majus* and *M. nivale*).

Extensive monitoring in Europe during 2010 confirmed resistance in both species due to the G143A mutation in several countries.

High levels were found in Germany and Italy.

Low to medium levels were found in Poland, Latvia, Estonia, Lithuania and Finland.

No monitoring data are available in 2010 for Austria, France, UK, Netherlands and Belgium.

### **Fusarium spp., wheat**

BASF

Monitoring was carried out in 2010 on *Fusarium culmorum* and *Fusarium graminearum* in France and Germany. A fully sensitive situation was found for both species.

### **Ramularia collo-cygni, barley**

Syngenta

Monitoring was carried out in 2010 in Germany. The G143A mutation was detected at high levels.

## **2.1.2. Vine diseases**

### **Downy mildew (*Plasmopara viticola*)**

BASF, Bayer CropScience, Syngenta

In 2010, disease pressure was moderate in the main grape growing areas of Europe. At some locations disease management was challenging at certain time periods of the season.

The levels of resistance found in monitoring programmes is summarised below:

High levels: France, Germany, Switzerland, Italy (Trentino, Alto Adige).

Moderate levels: Austria, Italy (other regions), Spain (Galicia, Basque, Rioja).

Low levels: Spain (Catalunia), Portugal.

### **Powdery mildew (*Uncinula necator* / *Erysiphe necator*)**

Bayer CropScience, Syngenta, BASF

Disease pressure in 2010 was low to moderate across Europe.

FRAC guidelines have been widely communicated across Europe and where these have been followed, field performance of QoI containing spray programmes was generally good. Adherence to FRAC guidelines must be stressed, especially in areas where resistance has been confirmed.

In 2010, intensive monitoring studies show there was a further spread of resistance in Europe compared to 2009.

The levels of resistance found in monitoring programmes is summarised below:

High levels: Austria, Czech Republic, France (Armagnac).

Low levels: Germany (Rheinhessen, Palatinate, Franken, Württemberg), Spain (Catalunia), France (South-East), Italy (Trentino).

No resistance was detected in other regions of Spain, Germany (Mosel, Baden), France (Champagne, Loire Valley, Cognac), Italy (Veneto, Puglia).

Heterogeneous levels of sensitivity were identified in other regions of France and Italy.

No data are available from monitoring in Portugal in 2010.

### **2.1.3 Pome fruit diseases**

#### **Apple scab (*Venturia inaequalis*)**

BASF, Bayer CropScience

Disease pressure was low to high across Europe.

Field performance of QoI containing spray programmes was generally good across Europe.

Through intensive monitoring carried out in Europe it is known that in regions where resistance is present, the levels of resistance found are very heterogeneous, with values ranging from zero to high even between neighbouring orchards.

Intensive monitoring was carried out in 2010 - frequencies of resistance are reported below:

High: Greece and Northern Italy.

Moderate to High: Southern France and Eastern Germany.

Low to moderate: Southern Germany, Belgium and Austria.

Low: Spain, North-West France and UK.

Resistance was detected for the first time in New Zealand.

No data are available for monitoring in 2010 in Poland and Portugal.

#### **Apple Powdery Mildew (*Podosphaera leucotricha*)**

BASF

Monitoring was carried out during 2010 in UK, Austria Netherlands, France, Italy and Spain. No resistance was found in any sample.

#### **Apple storage rot: *Gloeosporium album*, *G. fructigenum***

No monitoring was carried out in 2010

### **2.1.4. Potato/tomato diseases**

#### **Late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*)**

Bayer CropScience, BASF

No resistance was detected in all isolates collected from potato crops in France, Germany, Netherlands, Poland, Sweden, UK, Belgium, Lithuania and Italy. Performance remains good.

#### **Early blight (*Alternaria spp.*)**

Results of 2010 monitoring are not yet available.

### **2.1.5. Soybean diseases**

#### **Asian Rust (*Phakopsora pachyrhizi*)**

Bayer CropScience, BASF

Intensive monitoring was carried out across Brazil during 2009/2010. No resistant isolates have been detected.

These findings are consistent with the reported presence of a lethal intron in several fungi making the G143A mutation unlikely to occur.



Impact of position  
134 intron

### **2.1.6. Other crops**

#### **Vegetables**

##### **Cucumber powdery mildew (*Sphaerotheca fuliginea*)**

No monitoring was carried out in 2010.

##### **Oilseed Rape (Canola)**

##### **Stem Rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*)**

BASF, Syngenta

Results from 2009 and 2010 show a fully sensitive situation in Germany, UK, France, Czech Republic, Denmark, Poland, Sweden and Slovakia.

##### **Cotton (*Ramularia areola*)**

FRAC – Brazil

Monitoring results from 2008 and 2009 show a high number of isolates with a reduced level of sensitivity compared with the wild type.

Confirmation of target site mutations by molecular tests has not yet been done

#### **Rice**

##### **Blast (*Pyricularia oryzae*)**

BASF

Monitoring data for 2010 are not yet available

Further known cases of QoI resistance:



Species with  
QoI-resistance2010

## **2.2. Review of global guidelines**

### **2.2.1 Strategies and Guidelines for the 2011 season**

Strategies for the management of QoI fungicide resistance, in all crops, are based on the statements listed below. These statements serve as a fundamental guide for the development of local resistance management programs.

Resistance management strategies have been further enhanced in order to be proactive and to prevent the occurrence of resistance to QoI fungicides developing in other areas and pathogens. Specific guidelines by crop follow the **general guidelines** given here.

A fundamental principle that must be adhered to when applying resistance management strategies for QoI fungicides is that:

**The QoI fungicides (azoxystrobin, coumoxystrobin, dimoxystrobin, enoxastrobin, famoxadone, fenamidone, fenaminostrobin, fluoxastrobin, flufenoxystrobin, kresoxim-methyl, metominostrobin, orysastrobin, pyraoxystrobin picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyrametastrobin, pyribencarb, triclopyricarb trifloxystrobin) are in the same cross-resistance group.**

- Fungicide programmes must deliver effective disease management. Apply QoI fungicide based products at effective rates and intervals according to manufacturers' recommendations. Effective disease management is a critical component to delay the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
- The number of applications of QoI fungicide based products within a total disease management program must be limited whether applied solo or in mixtures with other fungicides. This limitation is inclusive to all QoI fungicides. Limitation of QoI fungicides within a spray programme provides time and space when the pathogen population is not influenced by QoI fungicide selection pressure.
- A consequence of limitation of QoI fungicide based products is the need to alternate them with effective fungicides from different cross-resistance groups.
- QoI fungicides, containing only the solo product, should be used in single or block applications in alternation with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group. Specific recommendation on size of blocks is given for specific crops.
- QoI fungicides, applied as tank mix or as a co-formulated mixture with an effective mixture partner, should be used in single or block applications in alternation with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group. Specific recommendations on size of blocks are given for specific crops.
- Mixture partners for QoI fungicides should be chosen carefully to contribute to effective control of the targeted pathogen(s). The mixture partner must have a different mode of action, and in addition it may increase spectrum of activity or provide needed curative activity. Use of mixtures containing only QoI fungicides must not be considered as an anti-resistance measure. Where local regulations do not allow mixtures, then strict alternations with non-cross resistant fungicides (no block applications) are necessary.
- An effective partner for a QoI fungicide is one that provides satisfactory disease control when used alone on the target disease.
- QoI fungicides are very effective at preventing spore germination and should therefore be used at the early stages of disease development (preventive treatment).



## **2.2.2 Specific Crop/Pathogen guidelines**

### **2.2.2.1. Strategies and Guidelines for cereals, 2011 season**

Where the guidelines for the season 2010 were followed, field performance of QoI containing spray programmes was good. It continues to be essential to use non-cross resistant mixture partners (e.g. SBIs, multisites) to ensure robust disease management. This will also help to delay the evolution of resistance, especially in regions with no resistance or where resistance is at low levels.

Therefore the recommendations for the season 2011 remain unchanged.

#### **Guidelines for using QoI fungicides on cereal crops**

1. Apply QoI fungicides always in mixtures with non-cross resistant fungicides to control cereal pathogens. At the rate chosen the respective partner(s) on its/ their own has/ have to provide effective disease control. Refer to manufacturers recommendations for rates.
2. Apply a maximum of 2 QoI fungicide containing sprays per cereal crop. Limiting the number of sprays is an important factor in delaying the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
3. Apply QoI fungicides according to manufacturers recommendations for the target disease (or complex) at the specific crop growth stage indicated.
4. Apply the QoI fungicide preventively or as early as possible in the disease cycle. Do not rely only on the curative potential of QoI fungicides.
5. Split / reduced rate programmes, using repeated applications, which provide continuous selection pressure, accelerate the development of resistant populations and therefore must not be used.

### **2.2.2.2 Vine diseases**

#### **Guidelines for using QoI fungicides on vines**

##### Powdery mildew (*Uncinula necator* / *Erysiphe necator*)

1. Apply QoI fungicides according to manufacturer's recommendations for the target disease at the specific crop growth stage indicated. Effective disease management is a critical parameter in delaying the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
2. Apply a maximum of 3 QoI fungicide containing sprays per vine crop, preferably in mixture (co-formulations or tank mixes) with effective mixture partners from different cross-resistance groups.
3. Apply QoI fungicides preventively.
4. QoI fungicides used solo should be used in strict alternation with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group.
5. Apply QoI fungicides used in mixture in a maximum of two consecutive applications in alternation with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group. In areas where resistance has been confirmed, apply QoI fungicides in strict alternation.

##### Downy mildew (*Plasmopara viticola*)

1. Apply QoI fungicides according to manufacturer's recommendations for the target disease at the specific crop growth stage indicated. Effective disease management is a critical parameter in delaying the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
2. Apply QoI fungicides preventively.

3. Apply a maximum of 3 Qol fungicide containing sprays per vine crop, only in mixture with effective partners from different cross-resistance groups.
4. Apply Qol fungicides in single or block application in alternation with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group.

### **2.2.2.3 Pome fruit diseases**

#### **Guidelines for using Qol fungicides on pomefruit**

##### Scab (*Venturia inaequalis*, *Venturia pirina*)

1. Apply Qol fungicides according to manufacturer's recommendations for the target disease (or complex) at the specific crop growth stage indicated and adapted to size of trees. Effective disease management is a critical parameter in delaying the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
2. Qol fungicides must be applied only in mixture with partners contributing to the effective control of the target pathogens.
3. Apply Qol fungicides preventatively. Under high disease pressure the spray interval should not exceed 7-10 days.
4. Apply a maximum of 3 Qol containing sprays per crop. A maximum of 4 Qol fungicide applications may be used where 12 or more applications are made per crop.
5. A maximum of 2 consecutive Qol fungicide sprays is preferred. Where field performance was adversely affected apply Qol containing fungicides in mixtures in strict alternation with fungicides from a different cross-resistant group.

### **2.2.2.4 Potato and tomato diseases**

#### **Guidelines for using Qol fungicides on potatoes and tomatoes**

##### Late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*)

1. Apply Qol fungicides according to manufacturer's recommendations for the target disease (or complex) at the specific crop growth stage indicated. Effective disease management is a critical parameter in delaying the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
2. Where Qol fungicide products are applied alone do not exceed 1 spray out of 3 with a maximum of 3 sprays per crop. Do not use more than 2 consecutive applications.
3. Where Qol fungicide products are applied in mixtures (co-formulations or tank mixes) do not exceed 50% of the total number of sprays or a maximum 6 Qol fungicide applications whichever is the lower. Do not use more than 3 consecutive Qol fungicide containing sprays.

##### Early blight (*Alternaria solani*, *Alternaria alternata*)

1. Where Qol fungicide products are applied solo do not exceed 33% of the total number of sprays or a maximum of 4. Where mixtures (co-formulations or tank mixes) are used do not exceed 50% of the total number of sprays or a maximum of 6 Qol fungicide applications, whichever is the lower.

### **2.2.2.5 Guidelines for using QoI fungicides on soybean diseases**

QoI fungicides effectively control soybean diseases including rust, which is a major disease in Latin America and has been detected recently in the USA. There is limited experience at this point in time in terms of resistance risk. Fungicide manufacturers have initiated baseline and monitoring studies.

In order to ensure sustainable use of QoIs the Working Group recommends:

1. Apply QoI fungicides according to manufacturer's recommendations for the target disease (or complex) at the specific crop growth stage indicated. Effective disease management is a critical parameter in delaying the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
2. Use QoIs preventatively or as early as possible in the disease cycle.
3. Use QoIs preferably in mixtures (co-formulations or tank mixes) with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group. At the rate chosen each partner on its own has to provide effective disease control. Refer to manufacturers' recommendations for rates.

### **2.2.2.6 Cucurbit diseases**

#### **Guidelines for using QoI fungicides on Cucurbit Vegetables**

1. Apply QoI fungicides according to manufacturer's recommendations for the target disease (or complex) at the specific crop growth stage indicated. Effective disease management is a critical parameter in delaying the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
2. Apply a maximum of 3 QoI fungicide sprays per crop
3. Use a maximum of 1 QoI fungicide spray out of every three fungicide applications.
4. Do not use consecutive applications of QoI fungicides.
5. Apply QoI fungicides in alternation with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group with satisfactory efficacy against the targeted pathogen(s).
6. Continue QoI fungicide alternation between successive crops.

### **2.2.2.7 Guidelines for using QoI fungicides on greenhouse grown non-cucurbit vegetables**

1. Apply QoI fungicides according to manufacturer's recommendations for the target disease (or complex) at the specific crop growth stage indicated. Effective disease management is a critical parameter in delaying the build-up of resistant pathogen populations.
2. Use a maximum of 1 QoI fungicide spray out of every 3 fungicide applications.
3. Do not use consecutive applications of QoI fungicides.
4. Apply QoI fungicides in alternation with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group with satisfactory efficacy against the targeted pathogen(s).
5. Continue QoI fungicide alternation between successive crops.

### **2.2.2.8 Guidelines for using QoI fungicides on other multiple spray crops (non-cucurbit field vegetables and ornamentals)**

1. Apply QoI fungicides according to manufacturers recommendations for the target disease (or complex) at the specific crop growth stage indicated. Effective disease management is a critical parameter in delaying the build up of resistant pathogen populations.
2. Observe spray limitations in the spray guideline table shown below for programmes utilising 12 or fewer fungicide sprays per crop.

Spray guideline table:

Total number of spray applications per crop	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	>12
Maximum recommended Solo QoI fungicide sprays	1	1**	2**	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	*
Max. recommended QoI fungicide sprays in mixture	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	*

\* When more than 12 fungicide applications are made, observe the following guidelines:

- When using a QoI fungicide as a solo product, the number of applications should be no more than 1/3 (33%) of the total number of fungicide applications per season.
- For QoI mixes in programs in which tank mixes or pre mixes of QoI with mixing partners of a different mode of action are utilized, the number of QoI containing applications should be no more than ½ (50%) of the total number of fungicide application per season.
- In programs in which applications of QoI are made with both solo products and mixtures, the number of QoI containing applications should be no more than ½ (50%) of the total number of fungicide applied per season.

\*\* Mixtures are preferred.

### **2.2.2.9 Banana**

#### **Guidelines for using QoI fungicides on banana**

Please refer to the recommendations of the banana FRAC working group: The conclusions and guidelines of the March 2010 meeting of the FRAC Banana Working Group are available on the FRAC Website ([http://frac.info/frac/work/work\\_bana.htm](http://frac.info/frac/work/work_bana.htm)). The next meeting of the group is planned for 2012.

### **2.3. Communication plans**

The above Web Pages will serve as the main communication vehicle for the group.

#### **Next meeting:**

All crops: December 8<sup>th</sup> 2011.

Venue: Frankfurt