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1. Introduction

The FRAC CAA Working Group was set up in 2005 to generate common resistance management recommendations for the Oomycete fungicides dimethomorph, flumorph, iprovalicarb, benthiavalicarb, mandipropamid and valifenalate.

All of the above-mentioned fungicides exhibit cross resistance and are grouped under the FRAC Code No. 40 in the FRAC Code List.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>TARGET SITE OF ACTION</th>
<th>GROUP NAME</th>
<th>CHEMICAL GROUP</th>
<th>COMMON NAME</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Cellulose synthesis (updated Jan. 2011)</td>
<td>CAA-fungicides (Carboxylic acid amides)</td>
<td>cinnamic acid amides</td>
<td>dimethomorph</td>
<td>Low to medium risk. Resistance management required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>valinamide carbamates</td>
<td>flumorph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mandelic acid amides</td>
<td>benthiavalicarb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>iprovalicarb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>valifenalate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mandipropamid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in the table, the group name Carboxylic Acid Amides (CAA) has been chosen. This name best represents compounds from three different chemical groups.

Until 2009 the mode of action of CAA compounds was not fully elucidated. The proposals were inhibition of phospholipid biosynthesis and interference with cell wall deposition.

Recent studies have shown that the mode of action of CAA compounds is directly linked to the inhibition of cellulose synthesis in the Oomycete plant pathogen (Blum et al., Molecular Plant Pathology, 2009).

Uptake studies with 14C labeled Mandipropamid showed that this Oomycete control agent acts on the cell wall and does not enter the cell. Furthermore, 14C glucose incorporation into cellulose was perturbed in the presence of MPD. Gene sequence analysis of cellulose synthase genes in laboratory mutants, insensitive to MPD, revealed two point mutations in the PiCesA3 gene, known to be involved in cellulose synthesis. Both mutations in the PiCesA3 gene result in a change to the same amino acid (glycine-1105) in the protein.

Sensitivity monitoring studies over several years revealed that in populations of the late blight pathogen, Phytophthora infestans, all isolates were fully sensitive to CAA fungicides. However, in populations of the grape downy mildew pathogen, Plasmopara viticola, isolates can be found in certain regions, which are resistant to all CAA fungicides.

Inheritance studies (Gisi et al., Plant Pathology, 2007, 56, 199-208) showed that sexual crosses between sensitive and CAA resistant isolates of Plasmopara viticola lead to a co-segregation of resistance to dimethomorph, iprovalicarb, benthiavalicarb and mandipropamid, but not to the phenylamide, mefenoxam, which was tested in parallel as an independent marker.

Further, the inheritance studies showed that the gene(s) for resistance to CAA fungicides are inherited in a recessive manner. Therefore, the entire F1 generation of crosses between sensitive and CAA resistant isolates was sensitive, and only in the F2 progeny did CAA resistance reappear in some isolates. These results suggest that the resistance risk can be classified as moderate (as compared to high for phenylamide and QoI fungicides) and that it can be managed by appropriate product use strategies (see below).

Source: www.frac.info
February 2011
2. Resistance Monitoring 2010

2.1. Plasmopara viticola – Grape downy mildew

Disease incidence

In 2010, disease pressure was moderate in the main grape growing areas of Europe. At some locations disease management was challenging at certain time periods of the season.

Field performance

Field performance of registered products was good in 2010. No resistance-related complaints have been received.

Monitoring results
(Results generated by BASF, Bayer, Isagro, KI-Chemical, Syngenta)

France
As in the years before, CAA resistant isolates have been detected consistently in all areas. High frequency of resistance was detected in Cognac, Champagne and Midi Pyrénées (Armagnac, Gascogne). In other areas the frequency of resistance was lower and remained stable.

Germany
Resistance has increased in all major wine growing areas. High levels were observed in Mosel, Rheinhessen, Pfalz and Franken and moderate levels in Württemberg and Baden.

Italy
High resistance levels were observed in Alto Adige, Trentino and Friuli. A moderate level was detected in Veneto and low levels in Piedmont and Toscana. No resistance detected in Lombardia, Emilia Romagna, Marche and Lazio.

Spain, Portugal
No resistance was detected in Galicia and Portugal.

Switzerland
A high level of resistance was observed in Buendner Herrschaft and low levels in Valais.

Austria
No resistance was detected.

Slovakia
No resistance was detected.

2.2. Phytophthora infestans – Late blight of potatoes and tomatoes

Field performance

Field performance of CAA fungicides against late blight was very good.
Monitoring results
(Results generated by BASF, KI Chemical and Syngenta)

Sensitivity monitoring programs in 2010 did not detect less sensitive strains of *Phytophthora infestans* in Europe. These studies document that populations of *Phytophthora infestans* are fully sensitive to CAA fungicides and confirm the observations of previous years.

2.3. Other Oomycete pathogens

No sensitivity monitoring studies were conducted in 2010

3. Use Recommendations

3.1. *Plasmopara viticola* – Grape downy mildew

*Plasmopara viticola* is classified by FRAC as a high risk pathogen. Long-term experience with CAA fungicides demonstrates that the resistance risk of *Plasmopara viticola* to this fungicide group is moderate and can be managed through appropriate use strategies.

Use Recommendations:

- Apply CAA fungicides preferably in a preventive manner
- Apply a maximum of 4 CAA fungicide sprays during one crop cycle
- Always apply CAA fungicides in mixture with effective partners such as multi-site or other non cross resistant fungicides
- An effective partner for a CAA fungicide is one that provides satisfactory disease control when used alone at the mixture rate
- Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers

3.2. *Phytophthora infestans* – Late blight of potato and tomato

No resistant isolates from field populations have been found since the introduction of CAA fungicides over 15 years ago.

*Phytophthora infestans* is classified by FRAC as a medium risk pathogen. Long-term experience with CAA fungicides demonstrates that the resistance risk of *Phytophthora infestans* to this fungicide group is low to moderate. For effective resistance management a precautionary strategy has to be implemented.

Use Recommendations:

- Maximum 50 % of the total number of intended applications for late blight control
• Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

3.3. *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* – Downy mildew of cucurbits

*Pseudoperonospora cubensis* is classified by FRAC as a high risk pathogen.

**Use Recommendations:**

• Maximum 50% of the total number of intended applications for disease control

• Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs; do not use more than 3 consecutive applications of CAA fungicides

• In areas where resistant strains have been detected in commercial fields, apply CAA fungicides only in mixture with effective partners such as multi-site or other non cross resistant fungicides

• An effective partner for a CAA fungicide is one that provides satisfactory disease control when used alone at the mixture rate

For product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

3.4. Other Oomycete pathogens

Some of the downy mildew pathogens are classified by FRAC as moderate risk pathogens (e.g. *Bremia lactucae*). In spite of the use of CAA fungicides for more than 15 years against a range of such Oomycete pathogens, no reports on the occurrence of less sensitive field populations are available.

For effective resistance management a precautionary strategy has to be implemented.

**Use Recommendations:**

• Maximum 50% of the total number of intended applications for disease control

• Alternation with fungicides having other modes of action is recommended in spray programs

For more detailed product recommendations refer to the use guidelines published by the respective CAA manufacturers.

4. Next Meeting

Next annual meeting is planned for December 6, 2011
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